

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,659	09/03/2004	Hans Lautenschlager	WAS0654PUSA	WAS0654PUSA 4816	
22045	7590 04/26/20		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 1000 TOWN CENTER			ZIMMER,	ZIMMER, MARC S	
	ECOND FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				()		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·		
		10/506,659	LAUTENSCHLAGE	R ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marc S. Zimmer	1712			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMES OF THE MAILING D	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this come ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	arch 2006.				
		action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 13-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 13-31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ot	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFF			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National S	tage		
Attachmen	et(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	152)		

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herzig et al., U.S. patent # 6,093,782 for the reasons outlined previously.

Applicant contends that their invention is to be distinguished from that taught by Herzig because, whereas the process by which they prepare the siloxane copolymer having anti-misting activity entails equilibrating the product of (1) and (2) with an organopolysiloxane (4) that leads to lower hydrogen content in the copolymer having anti-misting activity, Herzig actually wishes to increase hydrogen content by carrying out a similar equilibration employing another hydrogensiloxane as the co-reactant.

However, it should be noted that there is nothing stipulating that the organopolysiloxane (4) is devoid of silicon-bound hydrogen atoms. Indeed, the description of component (4) in claim 1 is so general as to encompass all manner of polysiloxanes provided that they contain certain specified groups, e.g. terminal triorganosiloxy groups or hydroxyl groups, including those featuring silicon-bound hydrogen atoms. Furthermore, the equilibrating step set out in these claims is only optional and so this measure to decrease hydrogen content is not even essential. Finally, Applicant employs the transitional phrase "comprising" when reciting their

Application/Control Number: 10/506,659

Art Unit: 1712

method and, thus, other steps may be implemented in the preparation of the siloxane copolymer having anti-misting activity.

It is acknowledged that new claim 28 does specify the utilization of polymer reactants in the subsequent equilibration step that are devoid of hydrosilyl groups. Nevertheless, as is the case in claim 1, the equilibration step is only optional.

Concerning Applicant's new requirement that there shall only be 0.5 to 10 wt.% of the siloxane copolymer having antimisting activity as a fraction of the total, Example 5 of Herzig recites the addition of 47 grams of the corresponding polymer to 1000 grams of a vinyl group-terminated polydiorganosiloxane, the weight contribution of any other materials apparently being negligible.

Applicant is advised that were they to make the equilibration step mandatory, at least new claim 28, and the claims dependent therefrom, would be considered allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/506,659

Art Unit: 1712

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 20, 2006

MARC S. ZIMMER

Page 4